

Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proponents: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Rating Scale: (Passing Score is 85.)

<b>Score</b>	<b>Equivalent</b>	<b>Narrative Description</b>
100.00 – 95	<i>Outstanding</i>	The indicators of the criterion are carried out in an excellent manner.
94.99 – 90	<i>Very Good</i>	The indicators of the criterion are carried out evidently.
89.99 – 85	<i>Good</i>	The indicators of the criterion are carried out fairly.
84.99 – 80	<i>Poor</i>	The indicators of the criterion are carried out deficiently.
79.99 – 75	<i>Very Poor</i>	The indicators of the criterion are carried out very deficiently.
74.99 – 70	<i>Missing</i>	The indicators of the criterion are not evident at all.

Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Above Printed Name of Panel Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Score</i>	<i>Weight</i>	<i>Points</i>
<b>The Problem</b> An exposition on the background, rationale and significance of the study are given. The objectives are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound. The scope and delimitations of the study are clearly explained. The theoretical base for the study is described and its relation to the problem clearly indicated. Operational definitions of key terms/variables are adequately provided.		10%	
<b>Related Literature</b> There is evidence that the writer made a scholarly attempt to find his precursors in the field. The review provides relevant discussion on theories, concepts, facts, and/or ideas related to the subject. The materials are current, adequate and carefully selected. A synthesis is provided at the end of the section describing the review's significance.		10%	
<b>Methodology</b> Description of the research approach used is concisely written. Procedures are presented in very clear and logical sequence. The rationale behind the choice of method/procedure is explained. Sample size, sampling technique, research instruments and statistical treatment are suitable.		10%	
<b>Results</b> The purpose of the study is attained. Data are sufficient, logically presented, thoroughly analyzed, and soundly interpreted as to their meanings, substance, trends, relationships, implications, and/or statistical significance. Weaknesses, inconsistencies and other limitations of the findings are admitted and discussed.		20%	
<b>Conclusions and Recommendations</b> The summary of findings is logically presented. Conclusions are valid outgrowths of the findings and formulated concisely. Recommendations offered are logical, valid, feasible, practical, and attainable.		20%	
<b>General Format</b> The manuscript is very well-organized and contains all the main requisites of a typical thesis manuscript. The prescribed rules on the format, spacing, pagination, tables and figures are strictly followed. Mechanics of writing is satisfactory. The style is impersonal, straight forward, objective and effective.		15%	
<b>Oral Presentation</b> Oral presentation is methodical, rational and coherent. It is brief but complete. Presenters are articulate and questions are answered satisfactorily.		15%	
		100%	

**Comments:**

---



---



---



---



---



---